INDEX TO VOLUME 18

Achenbaum, Alvin A. *Feedback*. No. 5, p. 73.
Letter about Kamin's article, which appeared in the February 1978 issue of the *Journal*.

Alexander, M. Wayne, and Ben Judd, Jr. *Do Nudes in Ads Enhance Brand Recall?* No. 1, pp. 47–50.
No. Many other subjects were better recalled.

Anderson, Ronald D., Jack L. Engledow, and Helmut Becker. *How* Consumer Reports *Subscribers See Advertising*. No. 6, pp. 29–34.
Reactions to advertising have become less favorable among this group of consumers.

Barnes, James H. *See* Tull, Barnes, and Seymour.

Becker, Helmut. *See* Anderson, Engledow, and Becker.

Bellenger, Danny N., Dan H. Robertson, and Elizabeth C. Hirschman. *Impulse Buying Varies by Product*. No. 6, pp. 15–18.
For products bought mainly on impulse, the retailer should emphasize point-of-purchase advertising and merchandise display.

Bernacchi, Michael D. *Point of View: The Regulating Advertising Rationalist*. No. 2, pp. 41–42.
The FTC and other regulatory agencies are unresponsive to consumers when they call advertising "irrational."

Bimm, E. Brian, and Allan D. Millman. *A Model for Planning TV in Canada*. No. 4, pp. 43–48.
Two former product managers at Warner-Lambert establish a planning framework that incorporates advancements in media.

Boddewyn, J. J. *See* Marton and Boddewyn.

Bogart, Leo. *Is All This Advertising Necessary?* No. 5, pp. 17–26.
American advertisers spent $42.6 billion in 1978 on promotion of products and services, not all necessary, but perhaps inevitable in a market economy.

Callahan, Francis X. *Does Advertising Subsidize Information?* No. 4, pp. 19–22.
Yes, except in direct mail and magazines, advertising subsidizes the dissemination of information.

Collins, Sy, and Sol Jacobson. *A Pretest of Intrusiveness of Radio Commercials*. No. 1, pp. 37–43.
The automobile simulator offers a viable system for testing radio commercials.

Davis, James E. *See* Ward and Davis.

DeGroot, John. *See* Marshak and DeGroot.

de Kluyver, Cornelis A. *Hard and Soft Constraints in Media Scheduling*. No. 3, pp. 27–31.
In media scheduling, linear programming must consider both hard and soft constraints.

Donohue, Thomas R. *See* Meyer, Donohue, and Henke.

Ellenbogen, Irving. *See* Rich, Owens, and Ellenbogen.

Engledow, Jack L. *See* Anderson, Engledow, and Becker.

Enis, Ben M. *Exxon Marks the Spot*. No. 6, pp. 7–12.
Discusses the most significant brand-name change in advertising history.

Fenwick, Ian. *Advertising Experiments by Retailers*. No. 4, pp. 35–40.
Large stores responded better to higher budgets than did small stores.

Fletcher, Alan D., and Sherilyn K. Zeigler. *Creative Strategy and Magazine Ad Readership*. No. 1, pp. 29–33.
In studies of readership and magazine advertising copy and design, the creative function continues to resist attempts to quantify it.

Greyser, Stephen A. *Academic Research Marketing Managers Can Use*. No. 2, pp. 9–14.
Suggests how to improve business and academic collaboration on research in marketing.

Grønhaug, Kjell, and Leif Røstvig. *Target Groups and Advertising Messages*. No. 2, pp. 23–28.
Modest differences in messages produce different reactions by different target groups.

Haley, Russell I. *Sales Effects of Media Weight*. No. 3, pp. 9–18.
A leading experimenter reviews nine applications of the matched checkerboard technique, which measured the sales effects of variations in advertising weight.

Henke, Lucy L. *See* Meyer, Donohue, and Henke.

Hirschman, Elizabeth C. *See* Bellenger, Robertson, and Hirschman.

Jacobson, Henry S. *Feedback*. No. 5, p. 73.
Letter about Kamin's article, which appeared in the February 1978 issue of the *Journal*.

Jacobson, Sol. *See* Collins and Jacobson.

Johnson, Deborah K. *See* Reynolds and Johnson.

Judd, Ben, Jr. *See* Alexander and Judd.

Kamen, Joseph M. *The Value of Contrary Proposals*. No. 5, pp. 31–33.
An acerbic wit investigates the psychology of outrageousness.

Kamin, Howard. *Advertising Reach and Frequency*. No. 1, pp. 21–25.
Sophisticated media planners analyze reach by frequency levels to relate the latter to communication goals.

Kordish, Gail R. *See* Lautman, Percy, and Kordish.

Kover, Arthur Jay, and Charles H. Little. *Top-Line versus Final Copy Tests*. No. 5, pp. 43–46.
This article suggests a reporting format—the "early final report" system—as an improvement on the top-line/final report system.

Kyle, P. W. *Lydia Pinkham Revisited: A Box-Jenkins Approach*. No. 2, pp. 31–39.
One of the oldest sales/advertising time series is analyzed by one of the newest techniques.

LaBarbera, Priscilla. *See* MacLachlan and LaBarbera.

Lautman, Martin R., Larry H. Percy, and Gail R. Kordish. *Campaigns from Multidimensional Scaling*. No. 3, pp. 35–40.
MDS is used to select an advertising theme and then test its persuasive effectiveness.

Lightfoot, M. Lawrence. *Dynamic Integer Programming Simulation*. No. 6, pp. 45–46.
The pseudonymous author helps media planners avoid slips with DIPS. Or does he?

Lin, Lynn Y. S. *See* Sunoo and Lin.

Little, Charles H. *See* Kover and Little.

MacLachlan, James, and Priscilla LaBarbera. *Time-Compressed TV Commercials*. No. 4, pp. 11–15.
Advertising's effectiveness and efficiency may be increased by speeding up the rate of information flow.

Marshak, Seymour, and John DeGroot. *Marketing: Who Should Teach What to Whom?* No. 2, pp. 17–20.
Courses must be adapted to the specific needs of the student community; faculty should have practical experience in the field.

Marton, Katherin, and J. J. Boddewyn. *Should a Corporation Keep a Low Profile?* No. 4, pp. 25–31.
This decision depends on the public involved and the corporation's objectives.

Meyer, Timothy P., Thomas R. Donohue, and Lucy L. Henke. *How Black Children See TV Commercials*. No. 5, pp. 51–58.
Inner-city black children learned little from TV commercials.

Millman, Allan D. *See* Bimm and Millman.

Nicosia, F. M. *Book Review: "Advertising, Competition, and Public Policy: A Simulation Study," by P. N. Bloom*. No. 3, pp. 43–46.
A favorable review of a book that looks at relationships of advertising to the economy and society.

Owens, David. *See* Rich, Owens, and Ellenbogen.

Percy, Larry H. *See* Lautman, Percy, and Kordish.

Pollay, Richard W. *Wanted: A History of Advertising*. No. 5, pp. 63–68.
Until the history of advertising is researched and documented, the industry and its members will be unfairly criticized.

Reynolds, Fred D., and Deborah K. Johnson. *Validity of Focus-Group Findings*. No. 3, pp. 21–24.
A point-by-point comparison of some qualitative and quantitative research confirms the validity of group-interview findings.

Rich, Tom, David Owens, and Irving Ellenbogen. *What Canadians Disliked about TV Commercials*. No. 6, pp. 37–44.
This survey found that Canadians were displeased with the total number of commercials, the number in each break, and their length.

Robertson, Dan H. *See* Bellenger, Robertson, and Hirschman.

Røstvig, Leif. *See* Grønhaug and Røstvig.

Rubens, William S. *A Guide to TV Ratings*. No. 1, pp. 11–18.
NBC's research director shows how ratings are obtained, used, and misused.

Seymour, Daniel T. *See* Tull, Barnes, and Seymour.

Shimp, Terence A. *Do Incomplete Comparisons Mislead?* No. 6, pp. 21–27.
Incomplete comparative advertising has the potential to mislead.

Sunoo, Don, and Lynn Y. S. Lin. *Sales Effects of Promotion and Advertising*. No. 5, pp. 37–40.
This case study shows the efficacy of the field-experiment method in measuring the sales effectiveness of marketing variables.

Tull, Donald S., James H. Barnes, and Daniel T. Seymour. *Feedback*. No. 6, pp. 49–51.
Comment on San Augustine and Foley's "How Large Advertisers Set Budgets," *Journal of Advertising Research*, Vol. 15, No. 5, October 1975.

Ward, Ronald W., and James E. Davis. *Coupon Redemption*. No. 4, pp. 51–58.
Direct mail was the best medium for promoting orange juice, as measured by coupon redemption.

Zeigler, Sherilyn K. *See* Fletcher and Zeigler.

Editorials by Charles Ramond

The Enemies of Science. No. 1, p. 59.
Experiments Work! No. 3, p. 55.
Fearless Forecasts for 1979. No. 6, p. 63.
How to Teach Marketing. No. 2, p. 51.
Waste Not, Want Not. No. 5, p. 83.
When Less Is More. No. 4, p. 67.